,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/682,194	PERCIVAL, JEFFREY D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr	(3)	
(2) Ms. Rodgers.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-24		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a wri	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
	11.5.10	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the process claims were allowable but the products required further consideration and further restriction. The examiner discussed improper dependency of Claim 12 and further discussed eliminating the Trademarked composition in claim 16. Applicant authorized re-restriction and elected the method claims, authorized dependency and Tradmark substitution by exmainer's amendment and Authorized cancellation of non-elected claims with traverse, applicant reserving the right to file divisional application. Applicant's representative authorized changes to the Title.